

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,420	10/13/2000		Peter Joseph Rock	13DV13812	8491
29399	7590	10/18/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. B C/O ARMS		I (12729) FEASDALE LLP		WONG,	LESLIE
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2600				2164	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/687,420	ROCK ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Leslie Wong	2164					
Period f	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	dv 2006	•					
		action is non-final.						
3)	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the merits is					
ا ر	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dianasia		n punto Quaylo, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.					
·	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-120</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>6-10 and 12-16</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2,5,11,17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
	\[\sum_{\text{op}} \text{The drawing(s) filed on \frac{10/13/2000}{2000} \text{ is/are: a) \sum_{\text{op}} \text{ accepted or b) \sum_{\text{op}} \text{ objected to by the Examiner.} \]							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110/a	\(d\) or (f)					
	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
۵,								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
	— The state of the							
. * (application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not receive	ca.					
Attachmen	at(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	аст присации					

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/21/2006 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 5, 11, 17, and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 6-10, and 12-16 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 1 and 19-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2164

.

Page 3

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 1, 19, and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 1, line 10, recites the limitation "a candidate's educational background and personal background", it is unclear whether the this is a different candidate or the same candidate that was referred to on line 3 of claim 1. If it is the same candidate as the one on line 3 then it should changed to the "the candidate".
- 8. Claims 2-4, 19, and 20 are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their respective base claims by dependency.
- 9. Claims 19 and 20, line 2, recites the limitation "...prompting **a** user...", this should be changed to "prompting **the** user...".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,420

Art Unit: 2164

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHARLES RONES can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2164

LW October 13, 2006